

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark OfficeAddress COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
04/284,585	06/06/80	LORNEAU	J

GERALD J. WEBER
WEBER, STAFFER & SPIVAK
1510 TWO BENT CENTER PLAZA
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19102

EXAMINER	
BROWN, J.	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

MAILED 4
DATE MAILED:

NOV 23 1982

GROUP 120

- ☒ This application has been examined ☐ Responsive to communication filed on _____ ☐ This action is made final.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 months, _____ days from the date of this letter.
Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133

Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-692. | 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948. |
| 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449 | 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152 |
| 5. <input type="checkbox"/> Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474 | 6. <input type="checkbox"/> _____ |

Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION

1. ☒ Claims 1, 25 and 28 are pending in the application.
Of the above, claims _____ are withdrawn from consideration.
2. ☐ Claims _____ have been cancelled.
3. ☐ Claims _____ are allowed.
4. ☒ Claims 1, 25 and 28 are rejected.
5. ☐ Claims _____ are objected to.
6. ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.
7. ☒ This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes until such time as allowable subject matter is indicated.
8. ☐ Allowable subject matter having been indicated, formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.
9. ☐ The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _____. These drawings are ☐ acceptable; ☐ not acceptable (see explanation).
10. ☐ The ☐ proposed drawing correction and/or the ☐ proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on _____ has (have) been ☐ approved by the examiner. ☐ disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).
11. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed _____, has been ☐ approved. ☐ disapproved (see explanation). However, the Patent and Trademark Office no longer makes drawing changes. It is now applicant's responsibility to ensure that the drawings are corrected. Corrections **MUST** be effected in accordance with the instructions set forth on the attached letter "INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES", PTO-1474.
12. ☒ Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has ☒ been received ☐ not been received ☐ been filed in parent application, serial no. _____; filed on _____.
13. ☐ Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
14. ☐ Other _____

Art Unit 123

The specification should be carefully revised in order to correct all typographical errors and omissions.

Claims 1, 25 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as his or her invention. The term "fraction" is superfluous and should be cancelled. The terminology "Characterized in that" should be changed to ---wherein--- or other appropriate language. Claim 28 does not have antecedent basis since claim 24 on which it depends has been cancelled. Further claim 24 does not state for what purpose the composition is useful nor how much of the compound is present.

Claims 1, 25 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Lindall et al., Fussi or Schmer, Takacs et al or Choay et al. Although, the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of Title 35 U.S.C., the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Each of the references shows the separating of heparin

Serial No. 204505

3

Art Unit 123

compounds from materials containing same and/or separating one heparin fraction from another. The instant product and process of separation as well as the pharmaceutical composition are not deemed to patentably distinguish over the disclosure of the references.

Applicants are reminded that the same subject matter is being claimed in co-pending application Ser. No. 301,611.

Brown:jag

A/C 703

557-2575

10-1-82

Johnnie R. Brown
JOHNNIE R. BROWN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 123